

KALAMUNDA CONGREGATION OF JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES

REDACTED

August 27, 2011

Service Desk
Australia Branch
PO Box 280
Ingleburn NSW 1890

Re: BCH – Request for Reinstatement

Dear Brothers

We received a fourth letter from BCH which we have enclosed. When we received the letter we met with him and asked what he meant when he said he confessed to all his sins. Did he also mean he confessed to the charges that he had abused his other daughters besides BCG. His answer was that he did not abuse them and that this was not what he intended to convey. In fact he again made the point that he has never ever been told what the specific charges are.

As we are concerned for his situation and the stress it is causing his wife we would like to help him get out of the hole he is in if that were possible. We would like to ask for a little more information regarding those charges. With this in mind we suggested to him that there must be some evidence in the letter his daughter wrote to your office. (We had heard of this letter from him previously) We asked could we see a copy of the letter and he gave us one.

The letter certainly paints him in a very poor light as a father. However what still concerns us is that there apparently is no evidence at all it seems, of any proof of his abusing his other daughters except BCG's wild claims and BCG certainly seems to exaggerate things somewhat in the letter. For example she says he was disfellowshipped for a measly 2 years. Actually it was for close to 4 years. She says she would never leave the truth. She has not only left the truth but is disfellowshipped. She admits herself that some see her as a bit of a 'fruit cake'. The original wrong with BCG that can be proved and that he now makes a full confession of was over 20 years ago.

Although BCG has left the truth, he has maintained constant contact. Still attends meetings on a regular basis. Continued to witness while in goal and apparently still does.

On the evidence available to us we feel he could be considered for reinstatement.

Below are some of the reasons we have considered.

1. Why would he continue to deny abuse of other daughters if they were true? It would be so easy for him to admit and confess and finish the matter.
2. None of the charges of other daughters were ever presented and tested in court. None of those daughters gave such testimony in court.
3. His present wife (who is an active sister) says she asked BCK if her father had ever touched her and BCK said she didn't remember and would ask her mother. She later came back to say her mother said he had when she was 2 – 3 years old. Her mother of course was the one who instigated the trial.
4. His present wife who has been married to him for 18 years and knows the man better than any of us says he has never in all those years shown any inclination toward young girls or other women or pornography or other wrongs.
5. We asked him if he has ever admitted to the charges about his other daughters. His answer was that only once did he say something that could be construed that way. After a long interrogation by the elders he, out of frustration said something like. I admit to whatever you say. He says it was wrong. He should not have said it. Incidentally the elders at Longholme have commented to us per phone that he never admitted anything to them.
6. If there are some other sins that he is accused of that have not yet been settle, is it possible to know what they are? If they are accusations again just from BCG or do they have the required two or more witnesses to sustain the.
7. At this stage we feel he would be willing to do whatever is requested of him to set matters straight.

We appreciated the need to take a very serious view of child abuse and can understand your strong views about the matter. However if there is further proof of the allegations that we do not have, we would be in a better position to handle the matter if that could be available to us.

Other points could be mentioned

1. BCG claims that she has a loving husband and is blessed with 2 children. We understand that she has been separated and had at least one child out of wedlock.
2. She claims he violated Jehovah's laws and brought great reproach on his name and how terrible that is. She would never do that but she has left the truth and left Jehovah and broken his laws.
3. The prison authorities were apparently satisfied he was not an ongoing threat. He was released early from prison and was never required to undergo counseling.

We pray Jehovah direction on this matter and thank you for your kind assistance.

Yours Brothers

Ormonde Blakeney

Nigel Hubbard


 Steve Johnson